IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.
WRIT PETITION NO.603 OF 2005

Prasanna Suresh Ghadigaonkar & Ors. ...Petitioners.
V/s.
The Security Guards Board for Gr.Bombay
& Thane District & Ors. ...Respondents.

Ranjana Todankar for the Petitioners.
Mr.M.S.Topkar for the Respondent No.1.
Ms.Sonia Sunil i/b M/s. B.K.Associates for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

CORAM: H.L.GOKHALE &
S.R.DONGAONKAR, JJ.
DATE : 3rd April, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Ms. Todankar in support of this Petition. Mr.Topkar appears for the Respondent No.1, Ms.Sonia Sunil appears for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. The Petition is filed by security guards working under the Respondent No.2 through the Respondent No.3-Agency. Prayer is that they should be registered with the Security Guards Board which is Respondent No.1 and allot them to the Respondent No.2.

3. Legal position is that if the principal employer wants to engage any security guards, he must engage them either through board or employ those who got registration with the board under the Maharashtra Private Security

Guards (Regulation of Employment and Welfare) Act, 1981. Similar matters were filed against the Respondent No.2, earlier. Ms.Todankar points out the order passed in Writ Petition No.24 of 2006 dated 7th March, 2006 which was against the same employer. This being the position, we permit the Petitioners to apply for registration and the Respondent No.2 is also directed to apply for registration with the Board. After the registration of the Petitioners, they will be allotted to the Respondent No.2-Principal Employer as far as possible. As of now they are continuing to work under the interim order of this Court, they shall be continued to work under the Respondent No.2. The Respondent No.2-Principal Employer is directed to apply for registration with the Board within a period of four weeks.

Petition disposed of.

(H.L.GOKHALE,J.)

(S.R.DONGAONKAR, J.)